

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

ERICSSON INC. and TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,  
Patent Owners.

---

Cases

IPR2015-01904 (Patent 8,812,059)	IPR2016-00107 (Patent RE43,931)
IPR2015-01905 (Patent 8,812,059)	IPR2016-00108 (Patent 6,400,376)
IPR2015-01919 (Patent 6,291,966)	IPR2016-00109 (Patent 6,157,620)
IPR2016-00004 (Patent 6,029,052)	IPR2016-00110 (Patent 6,122,263)
IPR2016-00050 (Patent 6,633,550)	IPR2016-00152 (Patent 6,026,293)
IPR2016-00106 (Patent RE43,931)	IPR2016-00154 (Patent 6,029,052) <sup>1</sup>

---

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD,  
PATRICK R. SCANLON, BARBARA A. BENOIT, and BETH Z. SHAW,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

SCANLON, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION  
Motion to Dismiss  
*37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5(a), 42.71(a)*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Decision addresses issues pertaining to all twelve cases. Thus, we exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case.

IPR2015-01904, IPR2015-01905, IPR2015-01919, IPR2016-00004, IPR2016-00050, IPR2016-00106, IPR2016-00107, IPR2016-00108, IPR2016-00109, IPR2016-00110, IPR2016-00152, IPR2016-00154

In each of the instant proceedings, Petitioner filed an Unopposed Motion to Dismiss on December 29, 2015. Paper 6.<sup>2</sup> The Board authorized the filing of these Motions via e-mail on December 28, 2015. Petitioner requests, in each proceeding, dismissal of the pending petition and termination of the proceeding. *Id.* at 2.

Each of these proceedings is still in a preliminary stage. Patent Owner has not filed a preliminary response, and we have not considered the merits of the Petitions. Furthermore, according to Petitioner, the parties met and conferred and Patent Owners do not oppose the Motions. *Id.* Petitioner also asserts that dismissal of the Petitions “will preserve the Board’s resources and the parties’ resources while also epitomizing the Patent Office’s policy of ‘secur[ing] the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution’” in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b), and Patent Owners will not be prejudiced by dismissal. *Id.* at 3. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to dismiss the petitions. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5(a), 42.71(a). This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

## ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion to Dismiss in each of the instant proceedings is *granted*;

---

<sup>2</sup> The paper number for the Motion to Dismiss is the same in all of the proceedings except for IPR2016-00050 and IPR2016-00110. In both of these cases, the Motion to Dismiss is Paper 7.

IPR2015-01904, IPR2015-01905, IPR2015-01919, IPR2016-00004, IPR2016-00050, IPR2016-00106, IPR2016-00107, IPR2016-00108, IPR2016-00109, IPR2016-00110, IPR2016-00152, IPR2016-00154

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition in each of the instant proceedings is *dismissed*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that each of the instant proceedings is *terminated*.

IPR2015-01904, IPR2015-01905, IPR2015-01919, IPR2016-00004, IPR2016-00050, IPR2016-00106, IPR2016-00107, IPR2016-00108, IPR2016-00109, IPR2016-00110, IPR2016-00152, IPR2016-00154

PETITIONERS:

Michael Hawkins  
[hawkins@fr.com](mailto:hawkins@fr.com)

Patrick Bisenius  
Stuart Nelson  
Christopher Green  
Ruffin Cordell  
[IPR30146-0011IP1@fr.com](mailto:IPR30146-0011IP1@fr.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Todd Baker  
[CPDocketBaker@oblon.com](mailto:CPDocketBaker@oblon.com)

Sameer Gokhale  
[CPDocketGokhale@oblon.com](mailto:CPDocketGokhale@oblon.com)

Lisa Mandrusiak  
[CPDocketMandrusiak@oblon.com](mailto:CPDocketMandrusiak@oblon.com)

Alex Kuo  
[CPDocketBaker@oblon.com](mailto:CPDocketBaker@oblon.com)