

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Glenn L. Webb,)	
)	
Respondent)	Proceeding No. D2014-35
<hr style="border-top: 1px solid black;"/>)	

FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.24

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24, the exclusion of Glenn L. Webb (“Respondent”) is hereby ordered for violation of 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h).

Background

On July 18, 2013, the Supreme Court of the State of Colorado issued an order in *The People of the State of Colorado vs. Glenn L. Webb*, Case No 13PDJ007, disbarring Respondent from the practice of law in Colorado on ethical grounds.

On January 6, 2015, a “Notice and Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Notice and Order”), mailed by certified mail (receipt no. 70140510000044244617) notified Respondent that the Deputy General Counsel for Enrollment and Discipline and Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline (OED Director) had filed a “Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Complaint”) requesting that the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO or Office”) impose reciprocal discipline upon Respondent identical to the discipline imposed by the Supreme Court of the State of Colorado in *The People of the State of Colorado vs. Glenn L. Webb*, Case No. 13PDJ007.

The Notice and Order provided Respondent an opportunity to file, within forty (40) days, a response opposing the imposition of reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the Supreme Court of California, based on one or more of the reasons provided in 37 C.F.R. §

11.24(d)(1). The Notice and Order was returned as undeliverable on February 20, 2015. The Notice and Order was subsequently published in the Official Gazette. Respondent has not filed a response to the Notice and Order.

Analysis

In light of Respondent's failure to file a response, it is hereby determined that there is no genuine issue of material fact under 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d) and exclusion of Respondent from the practice of patent, trademark, and non-patent law before the USPTO is appropriate.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Respondent be excluded from the practice of patent, trademark, and non-patent law before the USPTO effective the date of this Final Order;

2. The OED Director publish the following Notice in the *Official Gazette*:

NOTICE OF EXCLUSION

This Notice concerns Glenn L. Webb of Durango, Colorado, who is a registered patent attorney (Registration Number 32,668). In a reciprocal disciplinary proceeding, the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") has ordered that Mr. Webb be excluded from practice before the USPTO in patent, trademark, and other non-patent matters for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h)(1), predicated upon being disbarred from the practice of law on ethical grounds by a duly constituted authority of a State.

On May 15, 2013, the Presiding Disciplinary Judge of the Supreme Court of the State of Colorado held a sanctions hearing pursuant to Colorado Rule C.R.C.P. 251.15(b) and on June 13, 2013 issued an "Opinion and Decision Imposing Sanctions Pursuant to C.R.C.P.251.19(c)." On July 18, 2013, the Presiding Disciplinary Judge ordered Mr. Webb disbarred from the practice of law in Colorado.

In the Opinion and Decision, the Court held that Mr. Webb abandoned and failed to adequately communicate with three of his clients. The Court further held that while representing two of these clients, Mr. Webb accepted money to pay patent-related fees, but never paid the fees to the USPTO, thereby engaging in conversion. The Court also held that Mr. Webb lied to two clients about the status of their patent applications. Mr. Webb's conduct violated Colo. RPC 1.1, 1.3, 1.4(a)(3), 1.16(d), 8.1(b) and 8.4(c).

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 32 and 37 C.F.R. § 11.24. Disciplinary decisions involving practitioners are posted for public reading at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline's Reading Room available at: <http://e-foia.uspto.gov/Foia/OEDReadingRoom.jsp>.

3. The OED Director give notice pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.59 of the public discipline and the reasons for the discipline to disciplinary enforcement agencies in the state(s) where Respondent is admitted to practice, to courts where Respondent is known to be admitted, and to the public;

4. Respondent shall comply with the duties enumerated in 37 C.F.R. § 11.58;


5. The USPTO dissociate Respondent's name from any Customer Numbers and the public key infrastructure ("PKI") certificate associated with those Customer Numbers;

6. Respondent shall not apply for a USPTO Customer Number, shall not obtain a USPTO Customer Number, nor shall he have his name added to a USPTO Customer Number, unless and until he is reinstated to practice before the USPTO; and

7. Such other and further relief as the nature of this cause shall require.

MAR 13 2015

Date



James O. Payne
General Counsel for General Law
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on behalf of

Michelle K. Lee
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Deputy Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office

cc:

OED Director

Mr. Glenn L. Webb
P.O. Box 3788
Durango, Colorado 81302

Mr. Glenn L. Webb
Glenn L. Webb P.C.
679 2nd Ave, Suite 11C
Durango, Colorado 81301

Mr. Glenn L. Webb
123 El Pico Drive
Conifer, CO 80433