


practice before the PTO lacks credibility and demonstrates a lack of candor. Petitioner must demonstrate that he has complied with the terms of his suspension, before his request for reinstatement is considered. He has failed to accomplish that showing and, therefore, his petition to be reinstated is denied.

To date, Petitioner's alleged evidence to show compliance with § 10.158 has been unavailing. If in the future, Petitioner again seeks to be reinstated, he must provide evidence of compliance with § 10.158 beyond the meager documents that he has submitted to date. Moreover, Petitioner must provide evidence, not argument, to support his position. Papers not directly related to his request for reinstatement will be returned. Last, Petitioner must demonstrate that pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 10.160(d) he has served at least a two-year period of suspension that complies with §10.158.

ORDERED:

- (i) The Director's decision denying Petitioner's Fourth Petition for Reinstatement is *affirmed*,
- (ii) Any additional requests contained in Petitioner's numerous papers are dismissed as moot;
- (iii) The Director shall send a copy of her decisions and this decision to the bars.
- (iv) **THIS IS A FINAL AGENCY ACTION.**



Q. Todd Dickinson
Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce and
Acting Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks