



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

R2003-113  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

FEB 10 2003

In re

:  
: DECISION ON  
: PETITION FOR REGRADE  
: UNDER 37 CFR 10.7(c)  
:

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

(petitioner) petitions for regrading his-her answers to questions 12 and 15 of the morning section and question 13 of the afternoon section of the Registration Examination held on April 17, 2002. The petition is denied to the extent petitioner seeks a passing grade on the Registration Examination.

**BACKGROUND**

An applicant for registration to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) in patent cases must achieve a passing grade of 70 in both the morning and afternoon sections of the Registration Examination. Petitioner scored 68. On August 12, 2002, petitioner requested regrading, arguing that the model answers were incorrect.

As indicated in the instructions for requesting regrading of the Examination, in order to expedite a petitioner's appeal rights, a single final agency decision will be made regarding each request for regrade. The decision will be reviewable under 35 U.S.C. § 32. The Director of the USPTO, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 2(b)(2)(D) and 37 CFR 10.2 and 10.7, has delegated the authority to decide requests for regrade to the Director of Patent Legal Administration.

### **OPINION**

Under 37 CFR 10.7(c), petitioner must establish any errors that occurred in the grading of the Examination. The directions state: " No points will be awarded for incorrect answers or unanswered questions." The burden is on petitioners to show that their chosen answers are the most correct answers.

The directions to the morning and afternoon sections state in part:

Do not assume any additional facts not presented in the questions. When answering each question, unless otherwise stated, assume that you are a registered patent practitioner. The most correct answer is the policy, practice, and procedure which must, shall, or should be followed in accordance with the U.S. patent statutes, the USPTO rules of practice and procedure, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) articles and rules, unless modified by a court decision, a notice in the Official Gazette, or a notice in the Federal Register. There is only one most correct answer for each question. Where choices (A) through (D) are correct and choice (E) is "All of the above," the last choice (E) will be the most correct answer and the only answer which will be accepted. Where two or more choices are correct, the most correct

answer is the answer that refers to each and every one of the correct choices. Where a question includes a statement with one or more blanks or ends with a colon, select the answer from the choices given to complete the statement which would make the statement true. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, all references to patents or applications are to be understood as being U.S. patents or regular (non-provisional) utility applications for utility inventions only, as opposed to plant or design applications for plant and design inventions. Where the terms "USPTO" or "Office" are used in this examination, they mean the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Petitioner has presented various arguments attacking the validity of the model answers. All of petitioner's arguments have been fully considered. Each question in the Examination is worth one point.

Petitioner has been awarded an additional one point for morning question 12. Accordingly, petitioner has been granted an additional one point on the Examination. No credit has been awarded for morning question 15 and afternoon question 13. Petitioner's arguments for these questions are addressed individually below.

Morning question 15 reads as follows:

15. Able is a registered solo practitioner. Ben asks Able to prepare and prosecute an application for a utility patent. As part of the application, Able prepares a declaration and power of attorney, which Ben reviews and signs. Able files the application, the declaration, and power of attorney with the USPTO. Able quickly recognizes that help is necessary and contacts another registered practitioner, Chris, who often assists Able in such instances. Able, with Ben's consent, sends a proper associate power of attorney to the Office for Ben's application and directs that correspondence be sent to Chris. The examiner in the application takes up the application in the regular course of examination and sends out a rejection in an Office action. Chris sends a copy of the action to Ben to obtain Ben's comments on a proposed response. Unfortunately, after the first Office action, Able becomes terminally ill and dies. Ben does not know what to do, so Ben calls the examiner at the number on the Office action and explains that A died and Ben is worried how to proceed. Which of the following statement(s) is/are true?

- (A) Chris should inform Ben that the Office will not correspond with both the registered representative and the applicant and therefore, Ben should not have any further contact with the Office and let Chris send in a proper response.
- (B) Ben should send in a new power of attorney for anyone Ben intends to represent him before the Office.
- (C) Ben should execute and sent to the USPTO a new power of attorney for any registered patent practitioner that Ben intends to have represent him before the Office.
- (D) (B) and (C).
- (E) None of the above.

15. The model answer: (C). MPEP § 406. Answer (C) is a true statement because the Ben may appoint a registered practitioner to represent him. Answer (A) is incorrect because the power of a principal attorney will be revoked or terminated by his or her death. Such a revocation or termination of the power of the principal attorney will also terminate the power of those appointed by the principal attorney. Therefore, Chris's associate power of attorney is revoked and Chris cannot continue representing Ben without a new power of attorney from Ben. Furthermore, the Office will send correspondence to both Chris and Ben in the event of notification of Able's death. (B) is not the best answer because it suggests Ben may appoint a non-practitioner to prosecute the application and because it does not require the power of attorney to be executed (*cf.* answer (C)). (D) is not the best answer because it includes (B). (E) is false because (C) is true.

Petitioner argues that answer (D) is also correct. Petitioner contends that both answers (B) and (C) are correct since the question does not ask for the most complete answer, but just which statement is true. Petitioner also argues that since the applicant retained a registered practitioner in the first instance that he understands the importance

of obtaining a registered practitioner and would thus obtain another registered practitioner. Petitioner further argues that there is no indication that Ben would have sent a non-executed power, after he had previously sent one and that the facts suggest Ben is a careful and savvy applicant and would do the correct thing.

Petitioner's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Contrary to petitioner's statement that the question demonstrates that Ben knew to contact a registered practitioner and communicated the importance of having a registered practitioner, neither selection (B) nor the fact pattern specifically identifies whom Ben intends. Instead, selection (B) indicates that Ben may send in a power of attorney for anyone, as distinguished from selection (C) that indicates that Ben may send in a power of attorney for only a registered practitioner. The directions for the examination state, "Do not assume any additional facts not presented in the questions." Petitioner's argument is based on additional facts not given in answer (B). Since "anyone" could include someone that is a non-registered practitioner, and is not limited to a registered practitioner, petitioner cannot make the assumption that Ben would appoint a registered practitioner. Ben may not appoint a non-practitioner, as suggested by selection (B). While petitioner argues that the question does not ask for the most complete answer, the instructions state [t]he most correct answer is the policy, practice, and procedure which must, shall, or should be followed in accordance with the U.S. patent statutes, the USPTO rules of practice and procedure, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) articles and rules, unless modified by a court decision, a notice in the Official Gazette, or a notice in the Federal Register. Accordingly, answer (D) is not correct because answer (B) is not correct and answer (C) is the most correct choice.

No error in grading has been shown. Petitioner's request for credit on this question is denied.

Afternoon question 13 reads as follows:

13. Which of the following is or are a factor that will be considered in disapproving a preliminary amendment in an application filed November 10, 2000?

(A) The nature of any changes to the claims or specification that would result from entry of the preliminary amendment.

(B) The state of preparation of a first Office action as of the date of receipt of the preliminary amendment by the Office.

(C) The state of preparation of a first Office action as of the certificate of mailing date under 37 CFR 1.8, of the preliminary amendment.

(D) All of the above.

(E) (A) and (B).

13. The model answer: (E) is the correct answer. 37 C.F.R. § 1.115(b)(1). As stated in 65 FR at 54636, middle and right columns, "Factors that will be considered in disapproving a preliminary amendment include: the state of preparation of a first Office action as of the date of receipt (§1.6, which does not include § 1.8 certificate of mailing dates) of the preliminary amendment by the Office..." Thus, choices (C) and (D) are incorrect.

Petitioner argues that answer (D) is correct. Petitioner contends that due to the mail delays caused by the events of September 11, 2001, a certificate of mailing would also be taken into account by the USPTO as a factor in considering a preliminary amendment in an application filed November 10, 2000, and cites to "Notifications Related to Security Issues and/or the Events of September 11, 2001[.]" Petitioner references Q7 on "Questions and Answers Concerning Postal Service Emergencies" posted March 4, 2002, as evidence of the USPTO considering the certificate of mailing.

Petitioner's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Contrary to petitioner's statement that the state of preparation of a first Office action as of the certificate of mailing date would be considered in disapproving a preliminary amendment in an application filed November 10, 2000, it is not considered. See 37 CFR 1.115(b)(1). "Factors that will be considered in disapproving a preliminary amendment include: the state of preparation of a first Office action as of the date of receipt (§1.6, which does not include § 1.8 certificate of mailing dates) of the preliminary amendment by the Office..." Petitioner's reliance on Q7 on the "Questions and Answers . . ." is not persuasive, as it relates to the timeliness of an after-final reply to an outstanding Office action, wherein the certificate of mailing is properly used to make the reply timely, not a preliminary amendment. See 37 CFR 1.8. Accordingly, model answer E is correct and petitioner's answer D is incorrect.

No error in grading has been shown. Petitioner's request for credit on this question is denied.

**ORDER**

For the reasons given above, one point has been added to petitioner's score on the Examination. Therefore, petitioner's score is 69. This score is insufficient to pass the Examination.

Upon consideration of the request for regrade to the Director of the USPTO, it is ORDERED that the request for a passing grade on the Examination is denied.

This is a final agency action.



---

Robert J. Spar  
Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy