AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO:	Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Western District of Texas, Austin Division on the following

☐ Trademarks or ☑ Patents. (☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
1:12-CV-00103 LY	2/1/2012	Western District of Texas, Austin Division

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
Andrew Katrinecz and David Byrd	Everteck Computer Corporation

PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 see attached		
2 6,199,996		
3 7,284,872		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY ☐ Amendment ☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT
Attached

C	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
Jeannette Clack	K. Wallace	SEP 12 2016

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED
OCT 30 2012
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BY _____
DEPUTY CLERK

ANDREW KATRINECZ AND DAVID BYRD,	§	
PLAINTIFFS,	§	
V.	§	CIVIL NO. A-12-CA-0103-LY
EVERTEK COMPUTER CORPORATION,	§	
DEFENDANT.	§	

FINAL JUDGMENT

Before the court in the above styled and numbered cause is Plaintiffs Andrew Katrinecz and David Byrd's Plaintiffs' Notice of Dismissal With Prejudice filed October 26, 2012 (Clerk's Document No. 6). Katrinecz and Byrd inform the court that they seek to dismiss the claims they allege against Evertek Computer Corporation with prejudice to refiling. Although served with process, Evertek Computer Corporation has yet to respond by filing an answer or a motion for summary judgment. Having considered Katrinecz and Byrd's notice, the case file, and the applicable law,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs Andrew Katrinecz and David Byrd's claims alleged against Defendant Evertek Computer Corporation are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

Further, as no disputes remain in this action for resolution, the court renders the following final judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is hereby **CLOSED**.

SIGNED this 30th day of October, 2012.

LEE YEAKEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE