AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	**REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK**

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Central District of California on the following

☐ Trademarks or ☑ Patents. (☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
2:15-cv-6339	8/19/2015	Central District of California

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
Skip Hop, Inc.	Munchkin, Inc. Brica, Inc.

PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 9,060,653	6/23/2015	Skip Hop, Inc.
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY ☐ Amendment ☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

11/14/16 order dismissing action

CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
Kiry Gray	L Chai	11/14/16

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director X Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
November 14, 2016
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: VPC DEPUTY

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SKIP HOP, INC., Plaintiff, v. MUNCHKIN, INC. and BRICA, INC., Defendants.	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-06339-SJO-AGR xxxxxxxxxx **PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING ENTIRE ACTION WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)**

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing therefor:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all claims and counterclaims in the above-captioned action be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, with each party bearing its own costs and attorneys' fees. There being no other parties served with process in this action, the action is now CLOSED.

Dated: November 10, 2016

S. James Otero

The Honorable S. James Otero
United States District Judge

McDermott Will & Emery LLP
Attorneys At Law
Los Angeles